### Video Understanding for Robotics

Xiaolong Wang UC San Diego



### An agent observes a dynamic world



### **Research in Videos: Activity Understanding**







#### ActivityNet 300K videos





#### Kinetics 650K videos

### **Research in Videos: Perceiving 3D Structure**



Hampali et al. 2019



Garcia-Hernando et al. 2018

### Video Understanding -> Imitation Learning

### Space-Time and 3D Understanding



http://www.inclusivedesigntoolkit.com/UCdex/dex.html Hasson, et al. "Learning joint reconstruction of hands and manipulated objects." CVPR 2019. https://www.dlr.de/rm/en/desktopdefault.aspx/tabid-11886/#gallery/28915



### Hand Object Interaction in Space-Time



Materzynska et al. Something-Else: Compositional Action Recognition with Spatial-Temporal Interaction Networks. CVPR 2020.





#### We learn a task reward with a graph abstraction from diverse videos. No manual reward design is required for goal-conditioned RL.

Graph Inverse Reinforcement Learning from Diverse Videos Sateesh Kumar, Jonathan Zamora\*, Nicklas Hansen\*, Rishabh Jangir, Xiaolong Wang CoRL (Oral Presentation)

### How are Rewards Obtained?

#### **Computer Games**





#### Directly obtained from environment

#### **Real World**



Often **manually** designed for each task separately



### Can we learn rewards directly from Videos?



Learn Reward **Function** 

Collect Video Demonstrations

Learn Reward from Videos

- Scalability
- Unified pipeline





Learn Policy in Simulation

Deploy Learned Policy in Real

Ease of data collection

### Domain Gap



Demonstrations

Large variations in visual appearance, viewpoint, object shapes



Simulation

















### Despite large variance in videos, the underlying scene structure remains largely similar for manipulation tasks

Peg In Box

Push

Reach









## The precise details of how the door is opened don't matter, what matters is whether it is open





GraphIRL learns a task reward function via a graph abstraction through its 4 components

Graph Inverse RL



### Spatial Interaction Networks

The **self** representation of an object can be written as:

$$f_{S}(O_{i}) = \phi_{S}(O)$$

Similarly, the interactional representation of an object is:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} \phi_{\text{in}}((O_{j}, O_{j}))$$

The final representation corresponding to a frame is:

$$f_{O}(O_{i}) = \phi_{agg}(f_{s} + f_{in})$$





# **RL w/ Learned Reward**



Frame (a)

Video Sequence #3



Frame (b)



Frame (c)

### The learned reward function is then used for Reinforcement Learning



### Learned Representations to Reward

• Representative goal-frame embedding:

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi(I_{m_i}^{i})$$

• The reward can be constructed as:

$$R = -\frac{1}{c} ||\psi(o) - g||^2$$





Current

Observation



### **Diverse Demonstrations for Reward Learning**























































### Successful Trial #1 🔽







### **Robot Manipulation in Simulation**

- GraphIRL outperforms Vision-based baselines by upto 40%
- GraphIRL solves all tasks without using any task-specific task reward



s by upto 40% ask-specific task reward

#### Task: Reach

#### XIRL [Zakka et al., 2022]



#### Success Rate: 26%

#### GraphIRL [Ours]



#### Success Rate: 86%

#### Task: Push

#### XIRL [Zakka et al., 2022]



#### Success Rate: 27%

#### GraphIRL [Ours]



#### Success Rate: 60%

#### XIRL [Zakka et al., 2022]



#### Success Rate: 6%

#### Task: Peg in Box

#### GraphIRL [Ours]



#### Success Rate: 53%

### Video Understanding -> Imitation Learning



### ► 3D Structure?



### Self-Supervised Geometric Correspondence for Category-Level 6D Object Pose Estimation in the Wild



Kaifeng Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Yang Fu<sup>2</sup>, Shubhankar Borse<sup>3</sup>, Hong Cai<sup>3</sup>, Fatih Porikli<sup>3</sup>, Xiaolong Wang<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Tsinghua University, <sup>2</sup> UC San Diego, <sup>3</sup> Qualcomm AI Research





Wild6D dataset. Yang Fu and Xiaolong Wang. NeurIPS 2022.

### Wild6D Examples



- Recording with iPhone or iPad.

• More than 5,000 RGBD videos across 1,700 objects (>1.1 million images). • We provide annotations for 486 videos over 162 instances as a test set



Our goal: learning 2D-3D dense correspondences for self-supervised category-level 6D pose estimation on large-scale in-the-wild images.

### Method **Overview**

Image embedding



Input Image







We build dense correspondences between pixels and mesh vertices via feature similarity in a shared embedding space.

### Method Overview



Different object instances correspond to the same canonical space.

### Method Overview



We apply pose fitting to get the estimated pose from correspondence.



Pose fitting



2D-3D correspondence

We propose novel cycle consistency losses for training correspondence.

The instance cycle consistency penalizes over correspondence-projection disparity within an image-mesh pair.

#### (a) Instance cycle consistency

#### (b) Cross-instance and cross-time cycle consistency



Image /



Mesh /

We also go beyond a single image to cross-instance and cross-time images.



Cross-instance image /

Mesh /

Cross-time image /'

Mesh *j*'



#### (b) Cross-instance and cross-time cycle consistency



By building a 4-step cycle, we encourage different images to consistently correspond to the shared canonical space.

#### (b) Cross-instance and cross-time cycle consistency



By building a 4-step cycle, we encourage different images to consistently correspond to the shared canonical space.













### **DexMV Platform for Imitation Learning**



DexMV: Imitation Learning for Dexterous Manipulation from Human Videos. Yuzhe Qin\*, Yueh-Hua Wu\*, Shaowei Liu\*, Hanwen Jiang\*, Ruihan Yang, Yang Fu, Xiaolong Wang ECCV 2022



### **DexMV Platform for Imitation Learning**



Relocate

Place Inside

Pour

#### **DexMV Platform**





# The Computer Vision System

• In computer vision system, we collect human demonstrations, perform 3D Pose Estimation, and motion retargeting to generate demonstrations.



### **Examples for Mustard Bottle**

![](_page_46_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### We can collect 100 demonstrations in 1 hour

![](_page_46_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **Examples for Pour**

![](_page_47_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### We can collect 100 demonstrations in 1 hour

![](_page_47_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Hand Motion Retargeting

- We collect demonstration on human hand manipulating objects, but we need to perform imitation learning on a robot hand.
- Human and robot hand are different in both geometry and kinematics.
- We match the task space vectors (green dot arrows).

![](_page_48_Figure_4.jpeg)

### **Examples for Hand Motion Retargeting**

![](_page_49_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **Examples for Hand Motion Retargeting**

![](_page_50_Picture_1.jpeg)

# The Simulation System

with the demonstrations from the computer vision system

![](_page_51_Picture_2.jpeg)

• In the simulation system, we perform imitation learning by augmenting the RL objective

# Example for Pour with Trained Policy

![](_page_52_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Pure Reinforcement Learning

![](_page_52_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Imitation with Demonstration

### Sim2Real with Xarm + Allegro Hand

![](_page_53_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Reinforcement Learning without Demonstrations

Yuzhe Qin, Hao Su, Xiaolong Wang. IROS 2022

### Imitation Learning with Demonstrations

### Video Understanding -> Imitation Learning

![](_page_54_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_3.jpeg)

- Accurate
- Efficient
- Robust
- Safe

![](_page_54_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_9.jpeg)